

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1920.

(Police)

Later references.

File No. 42-20

Serial Nos. 11, 12.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for

January 1921.

SUBJECT.

Petition from Chikla Gowda, Halah Village, Mandya Taluk, requesting for the modification of endorsement issued to him regarding the conduct of worship in the temple of Pathadamma.

8(12)

To

Chikla Gowda

No. G. 12975 27.1.21

Inform him that Government see no reason to reopen the question to

The Dist. Magistrate, Mysore
No. G. 12976 27.1.21.

Forward copy of the above with the direction that preventive measures may be adopted, if necessary, should there be an apprehension of breach of peace in this connection.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

File No. 12-7

FILE HEADING.

Conduct of worship in the temple of
Palladamma, Holalu village, Mandya Taluk

From

Bhikkhe Gowda, Holalu village,
Mandya Taluk

No. Petn

Dated

16. 11. 1920

Received

24. 11. 1920

Diary No. 11/213

Enclos. 1

Spare Copies. -

Serial No. 11

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that endorsement issued to him in No. G 789
Police 42-20-10 d/ 9. 10. 1920 may be modified
by directing both the petitioner and the opponent
to do puja on different days by turns or by preventing
both of them and that a stay order may be passed
pending disposal of this petition as a serious
breach of peace in the village is apprehended.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The appeal is sufficiently stamped
and presented in time.

Demand order on the P. W. D.

(Presented 23. 11. 20

2) May be submitted to the
third Member

(Initd) M. K.

Serial
No.

- 3) In the endorsement referred to, Govt have clearly declared that the right is a civil one which could be adjudicated only by civil courts and declined to interfere with the orders of the Dist Magt, and reject the appeal accordingly.
- 4) Sufficient grounds do not appear to have been adduced for reopening the question.
- 5) The passing of a stay order asked for is one for orders subd.

See

A petn received today by way of reminder is placed in the file as per instructions of the Asst Secy. The Dewan has called for the records.
7/12

27/11
Jm
aglu

6) No present app^s does not seem to be maintainable. It is not open to the petn to ask Govt to re-open the question again in the face of the endorsement above referred to.

7) As the petn says that there may be to break of the peace, the D. M. may perhaps be asked to adopt preventive measures, if necessary.

8) subd for orders.

III Member

9) I am not up to date in the matter. 2/12
up to date in the matter. 2/12
9/12

Serial
No.

10) Draft please to-day

D. M.

13/12

11) Draft endorsement subd as per paras 6 & 7. The Dewan has called for the records. (vide his minute of 30.11.20 on the reminder petition)

Sd/-

13/12

12) Sd/- for appb

D. M.
14/12

III Members

Dewan

17/12

lie

D. M.
18/12

13) No need call for records. The court, sees no reason

20.12.20
lie 14) Issues
D. M.
21/12

12

To

Bhikkhe Gowda, Holur, Mandya H.

No. G. 12975 D. 7-1-20.

Issues an endorsement informing that the Government see no reason to re-open the question

To

The appri

P.T.O.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.

Recd. on DEC 18 1920

Reg. No. 4318

3628

5.12.20

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Police File No. 42 of 1920.

Serial
No.

To

The District Magistrate, Mysore.


No. G. 12976. D. 7-1-20.

As a copy of the above is forwarded with
the direction that preventative measures may
be adopted, if necessary, should there be an apprehension
of breach of peace in this connection.

PO 42-20.
New Delhi

RECEIVED
SECRETARY
24 11 1920

Two Rupees Stamp
affixed -



DEG 58 JUNE 1920
23. 11. 20
Date of Receipt
J. No. 136
Ptn. Regr. No.
23. 11. 20
11. 2. 13

134 F19
SECTION
FILE NO.
134 F19

In the Court of Mr. Devan Kishiyappa
the Maharajah's Highness

Petition No. 920/21

Endorsement by 7899 Pol 42-20-11
dated 9-10-1920

SECTION Police
No. 62-20-11
11

Pet: Chickpeoda of Holale village
Mandya Z.

Opp: Kurchi Kemppeoda of ditto village

Per above and beg to state and pray
as follows: — —

1. That there is a new Temple dedicated
to Pattadamma & built by subscriptions
collected from all the many devotees of
the said deity, in account of a litigation
of 1880 in respect to an old Temple being
pre-occupied by & others of the village.
2. That there is no reason whatever to prohibit
any body from worshipping in the new Temple.
3. That the Gov. in their endorsement observe
that Opp. Kurchi Kemppeoda's right
is as Pujari which is not correct. There
is absolutely nothing anywhere in the old
records to show that any body has any
right as Pujari in the Temple, much
less to Opp. and the observation is
incorrect.
4. That in case any danger to public
peace is apprehended, there is nothing

to prevent the direction for the performance
of Puja by Pet and Opp. on different
days by turns.

5. That the direction to Pet to resort to
Civil Court is hardly just under the
circumstance that Pet and Opp. have
equal right of worship in the Temple
& there is no recognized ruler in any
person is Jain.

6. That the order made at present
constitutes an one sided order which
any foundation whether a Civil
Court or to be resorted to, both
the parties must be prevented from
offering worship until adjudication by
a proper Civil Tribunal.

7. That the several orders passed by the
District Magistrate, Mysore & the
Chief Court have the matter in an
exceedingly uncertain position & have
resulted in grave injury to the
elementary right of every citizen to
offer worship to his cherished deity
in any manner he pleases.

ad. 3/2002


8. That the matter has already agitated
petitioner for a number of years what
proper redress and his recourse
to Civil Court would occupy a
number of years more which is a
hardship, best avoided when.

9. That it can hardly be the policy of
Govt. to encourage litigation and

and factious disputes and a direction
for Pev to worship by turns a & apart
day would put an end to every
cause for quarrel.

10. That under these above circumstances
Pev prays that the Moh. friends
may be permitted & permission done
to him by an order permitting him
to offer worship at the Temple
in question.
11. That the Condition of the Temple, namely
the absence of any door whatever
to the said Temple, shows beyond
doubt that no single person can
be said to be in possession either
as Pujari or as manager. And as
it must be considered open for Pev
to worship in the Temple as any
body else.
12. That Pev further prays that
pending disposal of this Petition,
an order to stay execution
of the order may be passed, since
then would be a likelihood
of serious quarrels in the village.

28.3/8/2020



ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯೊಬ್ಬರ ವಿರುದ್ಧವಾಗಿ ಕೋರ್ಟ್‌ಗೆ.

19೪೦ - ೫

ನಂ.

೫

ನಂಬರು.

ಹಾಜಿ-ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ-ಯಾ ಅಪೋನೆಂಟ್.

ಆ. ವಿ. ನಾರ್ VS. ಶಾ. ಕೆ. ಶೇಖರ್

ಮ|| ರಾ|| ಶಾ. ಕೆ. ಶೇಖರ್ ಯವರಿಗೆ.

ಆ. ವಿ. ನಾರ್ ಬರೆಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಪ್ತಿಯವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆಡಳಿತಕ್ಕೂ, ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡೆಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು ಶಿಲ್ಕುಮೊಬಲಗು ಸಹಾ ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗಾಗಿ ರೀಫಂಡ್ ಆಗತಕ್ಕ ಸ್ವಾಂಸನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ದಸ್ತವಿವಳು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡೆಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ, ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರಬೇಕು. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಪ್ಪುಗೊತ್ತಿರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೊ ಮೊಖದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡೆಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇರಬೇಕು.

ತಾರೀಖು

16. 11. 20

ಆ. ವಿ. ನಾರ್

ರುಜು.

ವಿ. ಕೆ. ಶೇಖರ್

ಈ ಮೊಖತ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ವರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಶಾ. ಕೆ. ಶೇಖರ್

ಈ ಮೊಖತ್ತಾರುನಾಮಾ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.

ಶಾ. ಕೆ. ಶೇಖರ್

1231 (8A)

GENL. & REV. SECRETARIAT
REVENUE
6 DEC 1920

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Date of Receipt 30/11/20
Pern. Regr. No 22/163

30.11.20
 Let me see
 the record
 with
 Rev. Secy
 Comptroller
 3/12
 12/12

PROTECTION DIVISION
7 DEC 1920

Let the Court of the Dewan to His Highness
the Maharajah of Mysore

Reminder letter

Petition No 920/21

(Endowment No. G. 7899 P.R. 42-20-10
dated 9.10.1920)

Early
6.12

Per: Chickabada of Holalu village
Mandya Dy.

for

Att: Kanchi Kempapura of this village.
The Petitioner and his wife to state as
follows: —

1. That he presented a petition for a
Review of the order above quoted,
praying to be heard on the said petition
on 23.11.1920.

2. That on that date as the Dewan
was busy otherwise, Petitioner was
informed that the petition could be
permitted & order passed.

3. That Petitioner says that the connected
records of the Court of the Deputy Comptroller
Mysore District are to be sent for &
presented to his advocate here &
submitted with order passed.

including these
Bo.
20.
30.11.20.

23.11.20

Dayton
30.11.20

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to

12315

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Police)

the

191

Date of issue

7/1

Signed by

M. P. M.

Section

File No.

Serial No.

42-20

12

Endt No.

512975

To

Chikhe Gowda
Hobala village

Mandya Taluk.

With reference to his

30.11.20.

"By order of Dewan"

ks.

Refers d/16.11.1920, p.m.

that endorsement

Police 42-20-10 d/9.10.19

Regarding the

report of the

that the

your

of the

second

may be modified by

over.

6
Cell

10)

inching both him and
his opponent Kurchi Kumpu
Gowda to do worship on
different days by himself.

Chikkappa Gowda is in-
formed that Govt see
no reason to reopen the

question again and that

since his attention
is invited to the matter
said order.

By order

At Bangalore dated

For Rev Secy

Memo No. 712976

Copy of the above is

forwarded with compli-
ments to the S. M. Mysore
but with the understanding that
preventive measures may
be adopted. In the event
should there be a breach
of the peace in this con-
nection.

By order

List of enclosures.

an apprehension of

Copied by

Examined by

For Rev Secy
13/12